UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,958	01/17/2006	Ulrich Ebbeskotte	ALF-101-PCT-US	8782
22827 DORITY & M	22827 7590 06/05/2007 DORITY & MANNING, P.A.		EXAMINE	
POST OFFICE	BOX 1449	*	GARRETT, ERIKA P	
GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Annii annia			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/528,958	EBBESKOTTE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Erika Garrett	3636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 16(a). In no event, however, may a repl rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  y be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 January 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>5-14</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	on Papers		,			
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 3/22/05.	Paper No(s)/ľ	Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

· Application/Control Number: 10/528,958 Page 2

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The following title are missing from the specification: Field of invention, background of the invention, brief description of drawings, and detailed description of drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 7-8,10,12 and 14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent should refer to claims in the alternative form only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 7-14 have not been further treated on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "framing" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,958

Art Unit: 3636

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Courtois (5,860,703). Courtis discloses the use of a fastening device for a headrest (5) of a vehicle seat (1) with two holders distanced from one another in a direction transverse to the seat for adjustable guidance of the height of two of the headrest supporting rods (8) comprising a holder (9) with a first guide (10) which can accommodate a support rod in a slidable encasement, and a carrier (34) mounted on the frame of the vehicle seat, the carrier is movable in a direction transverse to the seat onto which the carrier the shell is movably mounted to pivot around a first axis that runs in the longitudinal direction of the seat, figures 5-9.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,958

Art Unit: 3636

9. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Courtois in view of Schmutz (5,110,185). Courtois fails to show the use of a second and third axis. Schmutz teaches the use of a second and third axis, shown on figures 2 and 5-6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the headrest with an axis as taught by Schmutz, in order to adjust the height of the headrest.

Page 4

## Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 5-6 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to headrest: U.S Pat. No. US006796613B2, US005484189A.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erika Garrett whose telephone number is 571-272-6859. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on 571-272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,958

Art Unit: 3636

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EG May 29, 2007

> DAVID DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER